Europe's Strategic Choices | SPK | Institute for Security Policy Ried University Policy





Thursday 7 and Friday 8 November 2019, Ritz Carlton Hotel, Berlin

Parts of this conference will be held under the Chatham House Rule, which states: 'When a meeting, or part thereof, is held under the Chatham House Rule, participants are free to use the information received, but neither the identity nor the affiliation of the speaker(s), nor that of any other participant, may be revealed.'

This is a confidential draft programme for discussion only and not for general circulation. All speakers and chairs listed are confirmed. Agenda @ 23 October

'Europe's Strategic Choices', hosted by Chatham House and the Institute for Security Policy at the University of Kiel, aims to explore and understand the complex set of challenges facing Europe in the areas of security, economic competitiveness and global influence. These issues make it an important moment to bring together senior politicians, business leaders and academics from across the continent to reinvigorate the debate about Europe's place in the world.

Thursday 7 November

0815 - 0900Registration and refreshments

Welcome

0900 - 0915

Dr Robin Niblett CMG*, Director, Chatham House Professor Dr Joachim Krause*, Director, Institute for Security Policy, University of Kiel

Opening Address

0915 - 0930

Dr Dietmar Woidke*, Minister President, Federal State of Brandenburg

Plenary Session One | European Multilateralism and Global Power Competition

The resurgence of geopolitics and great power competition, and the simultaneous exposure of the limits of the rules-based international order, present a challenge to Europe at a moment of internal pressure on the cohesion of its institutions as champions of multilateralism. In an international environment increasingly marked by multipolarity and new and emerging tensions in the security and economic space, the question of how key European multilateral systems and institutions can continue to function is ever more relevant.

- How can Europe's multilateral framework be effective in tackling global issues without falling victim to great power competitions?
- In a world of growing uncertainty, with the raise of new strong actors in the geostrategic global scene, what are the main challenges for the European Union to be tackled in order to reinforce its capacity of Defence and Security? How should the EU develop its sovereignty?
- To what extent is Europe, and the EU, in a position to look after its own security interests and carry its share of responsibility as a global security provider?
- How can Europe escape becoming caught in current and potential trade conflicts and tariff wars?

• What consequences would an authoritarian alignment between Russia and China have for Europe's strategic choices? In what ways and with what means should the EU and the UK deal with the increasingly controversial character of international relations?

Speakers

Sir Julian King*, Commissioner for Security Union, European Commission **Sir Martin Donnelly***, President, Boeing Europe, Managing Director, Boeing UK and Ireland **Professor Amrita Narlikar***, President, GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies, and Professor of International Relations, University of Hamburg

Chair: Dr Leslie Vinjamuri*, Head of the US and the Americas Programme, and Dean of the Queen Elizabeth II Academy, Chatham House

1045 – 1115 Refreshments

Breakout Sessions | Round One (These sessions will be under the Chatham House Rule) 1115 – 1245

Session A | The Opportunities and Challenges in China's Economic Ascendency

China's ascendency as an economic power offers Europe opportunities as well as generating fears. Initiatives such as the Belt and Road present avenues for increased trade, and the sharing of technological innovations such as 5G has the potential to enhance Europe's competitiveness. Concerns remain, however, regarding China's adherence to widely held norms in the global economy, and disputes between Chinese companies and the US government are indicative of the potential for disruption.

- How can European policymakers bridge the consensus gap on issues that threatens Europe's ability to effectively take advantage of economic opportunities with China?
- What exactly are the trade-offs for Europe's deeper economic engagement with China?
- Can Europe take advantage of potential benefits whilst at the same time managing risks to relating to competitiveness and security?

Speakers

Dr Yu Jie*, Senior China Research Fellow, Asia-Pacific Programme, Chatham House **Professor Leslie Young***, Professor of Economics, Cheung Kong Graduate School of Business **Prof Dr Doris Fischer***, Chair, China Business and Economics, University of Wuerzburg **Joern Ekkehard Beissert***, Director China Division, Federal Foreign Office

Chair: Dr Sarah Kirchberger*, Head of the Center for Asia-Pacific Strategy and Security, Institute for Security Policy, University of Kiel

Session B | Ghosts of Conflicts Past? The Danger of Conflict in Europe's Wider Neighbourhood and the Challenges of Prevention

1115 - 1245

With the return of armed conflict to its neighbourhood in Syria and Ukraine, Europe has been challenged to respond to numerous crises simultaneously, stretching its political, diplomatic, military and crisis response capacities. At the same time, political instability and the potential for new or further escalating armed conflicts in other regions such as the Western Balkans, the Baltic Sea and Black Sea, the Levant and the Persian Gulf (Iran) and Northern Africa (Algeria, Sudan and Libya) have increased.

- What are the risks of new wars and armed conflicts in Europe's neighbourhood?
- What are the most likely scenarios for the evolution of ongoing conflicts?
- Is Europe capable of dealing with further escalation of conflict in its neighbourhood or have the current crises already overwhelmed Europe's diplomatic, economic and military capacities?
- What approaches and capacities for conflict prevention does Europe possess, and what are the past examples for (successful and unsuccessful) conflict prevention efforts?

Lieutenant General Ingo Gerhartz*, Inspector of the Air Force, Germany **Professor Christopher Coker***, Professor of International Relations, Director of IDEAS, London School of Economics

Ben Hodges*, Center for Policy Analysis (CEPA), fmr. Commander, US Army Europe **Paul Landes***, Head of the National Bureau for Counter Terror Financing, Government of Israel **Liana Fix***, Program Director International Affairs, Körber Foundation

Chair: Kristina Kausch*, Senior Resident Fellow, The German Marshall Fund of the United States

Session C | European Climate Action

1115 - 1245

Europe's policymakers and business leaders are assessing prospects for stepping up collaboration on climate action. 2018 saw wildfires rage across the Iberian Peninsula and the security risks posed by climate change are increasingly clear. However, political and economic roadblocks - Brexit, populist rhetoric and sentiments, concerns over economic competitiveness - could complicate action to mitigate and manage climate risks and the impact of climate change.

- Has the current political dynamic in Europe led to new alliances and fault lines in global climate action? What avenues are there for deeper cooperation?
- What risks does climate change pose for European security? Where can these be anticipated?
- What do international climate objectives mean for European businesses? How can governments and non-state actors work together to take advantage of the latest technological advances and build a low carbon future?
- Can discussion on climate change be depoliticised? What steps can be taken to achieve this?

Speakers

Prof Dr Daniela Jacob*, Director, Climate Service Center Germany Janne Peljo*, Project Directer, Climate Solutions, Sitra Prof Dr Carlo Jaeger*, Chairman, Global Climate Forum

Chair: Sven Egenter*, Editor in Chief and Executive Director, Clean Energy Wire

1245 – 1345 Lunch

Plenary Session Two | The Search for European Economic Growth 1345-1500

As technological developments and demographic shifts continue to dramatically alter global and regional economies, and rising inequalities leads to disaffection with globalization, many stakeholders are reevaluating how to harness opportunities and adapt policies to pursue more inclusive growth.

- Which specific technologies are poised to cause the most disruption, and in what ways?
- Which sectors and regions are likely to be most affected in terms of employment?

- What are the implications of evolving economic disparities for political and social dynamics across Europe, and do proposed policy solutions exist?
- How can public and private actors work together to stimulate sustainable employment while promoting productivity and economic competitiveness?

Linda Teuteberg MdB*, General Secretary, Free Democratic Party, German Bundestag Andreas Schell*, Chief Executive Officer, Rolls-Royce Power Systems Jacques Bughin*, Director, McKinsey Global Institute
Prof Dr Anke Hassel*, Professor of Public Policy, Hertie School

1500 – 1530 Refreshments

Breakout Sessions | Round Two (These sessions will be under the Chatham House Rule) 1530 – 1700

Session A | Europe and its Southern Neighbourhood 1530 – 1700

The current dynamics in countries across Europe's southern neighbourhood, in the southern Mediterranean and North Africa, and further south in the Sahel, present complex policy and security questions for European policy-makers. The challenges of weak statehood, economic stagnation and political conflicts are increasingly exacerbated by a growing population, irregular migration and the adverse effects of climate change. The nature of the crises in the region is undergoing a major transformation and necessitates the recalibration of European policy at a time when it is becoming a theatre upon which established (US, Russia) and emerging (India, China) international players are interacting with each other and vying for influence.

- Is Europe's quest for 'stability' possible without transformation in its southern neighbourhood? Does Europe have a common approach to this region and countries within it?
- How is Europe interacting with both old and new international players in the region?
- What are the motives for Europe's engagement? How are these contrasted by those of other international and regional powers?
- How can different actors promote peace and stability in the region? Which instruments are most effective, and how can efforts be better coordinated?

Speakers

Güney Yildiz*, Visiting Fellow, German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP)
 Judy Dempsey*, Editor, Strategic Europe, Carnegie Europe
 Dr Nick Westcott*, Director, Royal African Society

Session B | **Artificial Intelligence**

1530 - 1700

Breakthroughs in machine learning are transforming the working environment and increasingly having an impact on societies. The potential to unlock new opportunities cannot be overstated, however there is a risk of unleashing too much too quickly and without adequate forethought on how to effectively govern and utilize artificial intelligence.

• What are the real-world applications of machine learning right now, and what are the greatest present-day risks?

- Which industries are poised for massive disruption? Which regions are most likely to be affected, and what does this mean for policy-making?
- In the race for technological supremacy, how can a 'race to the bottom' be avoided when it comes to AI regulation? Can countries or companies be realistically expected to cooperate in this area?
- Could AI's integration exacerbate economic inequality in Europe? How can this be mitigated?
- Given recent concerns surrounding AI decision-making prejudice, can AI systems be imbued with values? Is there consensus over what these values should be?

Prof Dr Christoph Meinel*, Director and CEO, Hasso Plattner Institute
 Dr Stefan Heumann*, Member of the Management Board, Stiftung Neue Verantwortung
 Prof Dr Dagmar Monett*, Professor of Computer Science, Berlin School of Economics and Law

Chair: Dr Sandro Gaycken*, Director, Digital Society Institute, European School of Management and Technology

Session C | The Future of European Trade

1530 - 1700

Whatever formula for Brexit that is eventually agreed will require a recalibration of many existing trade relations and an inevitable period of change. With slow progress on free trade agreements, and a shift towards national protectionism, this session will examine potential long-term consequences for Europe's trading environment.

- What is the potential that developments such as Brexit will lead to longer-term protectionist trade policies in Europe?
- How will further escalation of tensions between the US and its trading partners affect global trade?
- To what extent have decades of European integration weakened the UK's ability to strike trade deals with major economies?
- What new trade patterns and relationships are emerging, regionally and globally?

Speakers

Marianne Schneider-Petsinger*, Research Fellow, US and the Americas Programme, Chatham House

Dr Stephanie Leupold*, Head of Trade Strategy, DG Trade, European Commission

Dr Stefan Mair*, Member of the Executive Board, Federation of German Industries (BDI)

Dr Elvire Fabry*, Senior Research Fellow, Jacques Delors Institute

Dr Holger Hestermeyer*, Reader in International Dispute Resolution, King's College London

Chair: Iana Dreyer*, Founder and Chief Editor, Borderlex.eu

1700 – 1715 Short break

Plenary Session Three | Visions for Europe's Security and Defence Architecture 1715-1830

In a moment of growing and diverse external challenges to the Europe's security architecture, the future shape of European security cooperation seems more open than it has been for decades. With France willing but Germany hesitant to develop the EU's capacities further, NATO remains the common political denominator unaffected by the evolving nature of the EU–UK relationship. The UK and France are vying for Germany to underwrite a future design with different centres: NATO or the EU. But US pressure on

members of NATO to contribute more is not subsiding, and any ambition for an increasingly autonomous EU to go 'full-spectrum' is meeting resistance. With the alliance under strain, NATO's symbolic 2019 December summit in London will have to deliver more than a glimpse of where the alliance is headed and where Europeans' priorities for security architecture lie.

- Which of the two schools of thought strengthening the European pillar in NATO vs EU strategic autonomy in security and defence will provide Europe with a better future security arrangement?
- What are the prospects of NATO-EU cooperation in light of these developments, and how can both
 organizations find a way to bring the competing interests, preferences and caveats of NATO and EU
 member states into alignment?
- What does EU-UK cooperation in the field of security look like? What alternative mechanisms and avenues of cooperation exist outside NATO after Brexit?

Speakers

Lieutenant General Jörg Vollmer*, Army Chief of Staff, Germany General Philip Breedlove*, Supreme Allied Commander Europe, NATO (2013 - 16) Dr Fritz Felgentreu MdB*, Social Democratic Party, German Bundestag

Dr Claudia Major*, Senior Associate; Research Division, International Security, German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP)

Alex Zino*, Director – Customer & Government Relations – Defence, Rolls-Royce plc

Chair: Peter Watkins*, Associate Fellow, International Security, Chatham House

1830 – 1930 End of day one and drinks reception

Conference Dinner

1930 - 2200

Friday 8 November

0845 – 0945 Refreshments

Plenary Session Four | How Deep is the Crisis in the West?

0945 - 1100

After a decade of growing nationalism and populism, it is time to ask: what is the nature of the crisis the Western world is going through? International institutions are withering, domestic political institutions are failing, and the sense of unity that has prevailed for decades since the 1950s is eroding. There are different approaches to explain this: some observers are naming socio-economic factors, such as globalization and its consequences, deindustrialization, loss of competitiveness and falling wages for major parts of the population. Many blame growing alienation with the political and intellectual elite, with populations seeking alternative narratives having felt patronized by globally-oriented political classes. Others blame the impact of digitalization, the rise of social media and the decline of traditional political institutions. This discussion will scrutinize these explanations and others in the context of ongoing political transitions and assess what they mean for the future of European democracies.

Speakers

Dr Markus Kerber*, State Secretary, Federal Ministry of the Interior, Building and Community, Germany

Michael Kimmage*, Professor of History, The Catholic University of America

Dominique Moïsi*, Special Advisor - Geopolitics, Institut Montaigne
Dr Jana Puglierin*, Head of Program, Alfred von Oppenheim Center for European Policy Studies,
German Council on Foreign Relation

Chair: Hans Kundnani*, Senior Research Fellow, Europe Programme, Chatham House

1100 – 1130 Refreshments

Breakout Sessions | Round Three (These sessions will be under the Chatham House Rule) 1130 – 1300

Session A | The Future of Arms Control: Europe's Contribution to a Global Solution 1130-1300

The breakdown of the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty has revealed critical strategic concerns and challenges regarding the future of arms control and deterrence in Europe. While the conversation has very much revolved around the continent, key decisions over the future will likely be made outside of Europe. The rise of China and the relevance of its nuclear capabilities for US strategy, Russia's development of new weapons in the intermediate range and the risk of nuclearization in the Middle East have turned the conversation into a global one. This is even more apparent regarding the control of strategic weapons, and the unclear fate of the New Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START) risks leaving the world without any limitations on capabilities for its two dominant nuclear powers.

- What consequences will the breakdown of the INF Treaty and a lack of alternatives have for Europe?
- What is Europe's role and how should it position itself in the debate over the future of deterrence and arms control?
- What effect does the lack of agreement over the need for a continuation of the INF Treaty and the New START between the US and its allies have on NATO?
- As the inclusion of the Indo-Pacific region into any future global arms control regime will likely pose a number of challenges, what voice, weight and role will Europe have in this conversation?
- What is the way ahead for conventional arms control in Europe after the death of the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (CFE)?

Speakers

Ambassador Susanne Baumann*, Federal Government Commissioner for Disarmament and Arms Control and Head of the Directorate-General for International Order, the United Nations and Arms Control, Federal Foreign Office

Ambassador Ron Prosor*, Permanent Representative of Israel to the United Nations (2011 – 15)

Dr Pavel Podvig*, Senior Researcher, United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research

Frank A Rose*, Senior Fellow, Security and Strategy - Foreign Policy, Brookings Institution

Chair: Professor Dr Joachim Krause*, Director, Institute for Security Policy, University of Kiel

Session B | Skills Demand and the Future of Work

1130 - 1300

Technological developments are dramatically altering the way people and organizations work. Automation and digitization herald opportunities for productivity and other workplace gains, whilst also reshaping the marketplace for skills required by different sectors. In response to these changes there is a need for policy-makers and employers to engage and develop solutions that match skills supply to the demands of the 21st century workplace.

- How is the nature of skills demand changing and what is driving these changes?
- How can policymakers pursue more active labour market policies that involve employment services, skills providers, and business?
- What are some examples of innovative policies for remedying skills mismatching in the labour market? To what extent can these be replicated across different regions and industries?
- How can governments and businesses utilize technology to equip people with the necessary skills for a 21st century labour market?

Vice Admiral Rainer Brinkmann*, Deputy Inspector of the Navy, Germany Mark Keese*, Head Employability and Skills, OECD Siria Taurelli*, Strategic Project Leader, European Training Foundation

Session C | **Connected Markets and Eurozone Fiscal Policy** 1130 – 1300

Europe's coordination of economic and fiscal policies remains mired in complexities, with its Monetary Union and Capital Markets Union lacking progress and a lot of reforms still needed. The question of which reforms provide the optimal basis for future prosperity is yet to be agreed upon but breaking the inherent procyclicality according to which the Eurozone operates, and which has affected the potential growth of the peripheral countries, is key.

- What kind of countercyclical devices are needed in the Eurozone to allow it to operate more closely and target investment to regions that require support?
- Is there a consensus on the need to reduce risks through the sharing of risks? How can risk sharing be achieved?
- What are the opportunities and strategic choices for the Eurozone internationally in terms of crossborder financial flows?
- To what extent does growth and investment need new rules and institutions in Europe?
- In a European landscape increasingly characterized by volatility, how can regulators create optimal frameworks to foster economic growth and stability?

Speakers

Karel Lannoo*, Chief Executive, CEPS

Michael Theurer MdB*, Free Democratic Party, German Bundestag

Pepijn Bergsen*, Research Fellow, Europe Programme, Chatham House

1300 – 1400 Lunch

Closing Conversation | **The Search for a New Common Understanding** 1400 – 1515

As the traditional consensus about the core of the European project and its key narratives weaken, the question of a new common understanding for the EU and the relationship with its associates must be asked anew. The traditional scenario of France and Germany defining the way ahead no longer seems feasible, as two diverging visions of the EU's future have caused the engine of European integration to stutter: while France seems intent on taking the road of majority decision-making in a strengthened or altogether new supranational framework, Germany is hesitant and interested in preserving intergovernmental decision-making and a strict fiscal policy. At the same time, EU-sceptic governments in Hungary and Poland are focused on balancing against Franco-German designs that seek to limit their national sovereignty. While the incoming European Commission may provide advocates of an ever-closer

union with a renewed sense of purpose and energy, the chances are high that Eurosceptic and anti-EU forces will remain strong and resilient in their pursuit to build a coherent anti-EU movement. This session will discuss competing visions for Europe, the drivers behind their support and adoption, and the progress towards a common understanding of the continent's future.

Speakers

Prof Dr Henrik Enderlein*, President and Professor of Political Economy, Hertie School, and Director, Jacques Delors Institute Berlin

Ambassador Peter Wittig*, German Ambassador to the United Kingdom **Shada Islam***, Director Europe & Geopolitics, Friends of Europe

Chair: Quentin Peel*, Associate Fellow, Europe Programme, Chatham House

1515 Closing Remarks

End of conference